## IN THE HIGH COURT OF LESOTHO

In the matter between

LIMPHO MOKOTJO 1<sup>ST</sup> APPLICANT

AKHENTE PONOANE 2<sup>ST</sup> APPLICANT

RETHABILE LESOLE 3<sup>RD</sup> APPLICANT

Vs

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC PROSECUTIONS 1<sup>ST</sup> RESPONDENT

THE LEARNED MAGISTRATE

(HIS WORSHIP MR. HLABANYANE 2<sup>ND</sup> RESPONDENT

Review case No. 20/2013 CR. NO.576/2010 Review Order No. 1/2014 In the district of Maseru

## Order on Review

Delivered by the Honourable Mr. Justice E.F.M. Makara On the 27 January, 2014

- [1] This case came before me on review sought for by the 1st Applicant. The intervention asked for has its genesis in the proceedings which were conducted before the Magistrate Court for the district of Maseru. The grounds advanced for the review are in a nutshell that the Trial Magistrate committed procedural irregularities and that as a result thereof justice was undermined. It should be recorded here that the applicant was represented at the trial.
- [2] It has in the review application been changed that the Magistrate had committed procedural irregularities in that;

- In recording the proceedings the learned Magistrate had assumed the role of an interpreter and yet he is not, a sworn interpreter in that whilst the case was being conducted in Sesotho he had recorded the deliberations in the English language and, therefore, rendering the accuracy and the authenticity of the record doubtful;
- Not bothered to establish if the Judge's Rules had been followed by the police at the time of his arrest together with his co-accused;
- Charged without having the 1<sup>st</sup> Applicant secured a directive from the DPP and yet he is a Public Servant;
- Failed to properly record the evidence;
- Failed to order a separation of trials and yet the 3<sup>rd</sup> accused had pleaded guilty to the ownership and possession of the dagga and;
- Erred in convicting him despite the absence of evidence in support of the charge.
- [3] The charges preferred against the accused including the Applicant reads:
  - 1. That the said accused are charged with C/S 43 (2) (b) of Drugs of Abuse Act of 2008 read with subsection (3). In that upon or about the 27<sup>th</sup> March 2010 and at or near Semphuroaneng Nazareth in Maseru district, the said accused did each, both or all of them wrongfully and unlawfully acquire or have in their possession a prohibited drug knowing that such drug is not to be used in Lesotho or elsewhere. To Wit: Two (2) bags of dagga.
  - 2. That the said accused are charged with C/S 10 (2) of Road Traffic Act No.8 of 1981. In that upon or about the 27<sup>th</sup> March, 2010 and at or near Semphuroaneng, Nazareth in Maseru district, the said accused did each, both or all of them wrongfully, unlawfully and intentionally drive a motor vehicle bearing false registration number plates. To Wit: a White Golf car registration No CRD 676 FS.
- [4] All the accused pleaded innocence to the charges.

[5] At the conclusion of the trial, the Applicant who was

charged as A2 and the 3rd Accused (A3) were held guilty of Count 1

while A1 was found guilty of both counts.

[6] The Court determines *ex-facie* the record of the proceedings

and the grounds advanced for the review would be more

appropriate for an appeal in that they also address the merits of

the case.

[7] It is, consequently, directed that the applicant should file an

appeal and subsequently have it set down for hearing soonest. In

the meanwhile, the status quo should remain in place.

## E.F.M. MAKARA JUDGE

For Applicant : Adv. M.A. Molise

For Crown : Law Office

Copy: The Director of Public Prosecutions

The Chief Magistrates
The Magistrate – Maseru

All Magistrates

All Public Prosecutors The Director of Prisons O/C Police –Maseru